UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,918	10/30/2003	Bryan Christopher Chagoly	AUS920030809US1	9646
35525 IBM CORP (YA	7590 12/21/2000 A)	5	EXAM	INER
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<del></del>			3628	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		12/21/2006	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,918	CHAGOLY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Akiba K. Robinson-Boyce	3628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	ION.  ie timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 (2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/03.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

1. Due to communications filed 10/30/03, the following is a non-final first office action. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application and have been examined on the merits. Claims 1-21 are rejected as follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

As per claim 21, the preamble of this claim discloses: "A computer program product, in a computer readable medium". However, this claims does not specifically disclose that the computer program product is executed by the computer readable medium. In order for the computer program product to complete the necessary steps for carrying out a method of data processing, the computer program needs to be executable by the computer readable medium. Since this claim does not specify that the computer program is executed by the computer readable medium, it is therefore not possible for a concrete or tangible result to be produced. This claim is therefore considered non-statutory.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-11, 13, 14, 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Squire et al (US 6,970,101).

As per claim 1, Squire et al discloses:

providing a user profile containing data concerning preferred parking parameters to a parking space, (Col. 11, lines 30-33, and lines 51-53, providing/submitting customer preferences to receive assignment of a parking space, which is communicated to a database processor);

providing a parking database including data concerning parking parameters for each of a plurality of parking spaces under the control of a parking management system, (Abstract, lines 2-4, identifying characteristics of parking spaces stored in database);

determining a list of available parking spaces, (Col. 12, lines 46-48, list of available parking spaces is sorted); and

responsive to a user communication with the parking management system, providing an optimal available parking space based on the user profile, the parking

Art Unit: 3628

database, and the list of available parking spaces, (Col. 7, line 66-Col. 8, line 2, determines optimal match of customer preferences and available parking spaces, w/Col. 12, lines 48-50, list is displayed and a ticket with a parking space number is printed and available to customer).

As per claims 3, 13, Squire et al discloses:

wherein the data concerning preferred parking parameters includes a set of parameters and, for each parameter within the set of parameters, a preference value and a priority, (col. 8, lines 25-31, prioritized list, w/ col. 10, lines 18-37, list of customer preferences is stored to represent each preference relative to importance, especially, lines 22-32, [see chart], where the set of parameters = handicapped parking, safety level, etc, preference values = 1,2, and priority = P1, P2, etc).

As per claims 4, 14, Squire et al discloses:

wherein the user profile is a default profile, (Col. 10, lines 14-17, some data regarding customer preference may be assessed automatically, w/ lines 33-34, default value applied).

As per claims 7, 17, Squire et al discloses:

wherein the parking parameters include *at least one* of an identification, an indication of whether a parking space is occupied, an indication of whether the parking space is designated as handicapped, an indication of whether a pole is on one side of the parking space, a distance from an elevator lobby, a distance from an entrance or exit, and an indicator of whether the parking space is on an end of a row, (Col. 9, line 15, parking space descriptor includes handicapped parking).

Art Unit: 3628

As per claims 8, 18, Squire et al discloses:

wherein determining a list of available parking spaces includes receiving sensor information from a plurality of sensors, wherein each sensor within the plurality of sensors indicates whether a given parking space is occupied, (Col. 7, lines 4-12, vehicle sensor).

As per claims 9, 19, Squire et al discloses:

wherein providing an optimal available parking space includes outputting the optimal available parking space to an output device, (Col. 8, lines 27-28, prioritized list can be displayed).

As per claim 10, 20, Squire et al discloses:

wherein output device is one of a display and a printer, (Col. 8, lines 27-28, displayed or printed).

As per claim 11, Squire et al discloses:

a parking management system, (Col. 2, line 58, parking management system);

a user profile containing data concerning preferred parking parameters to a parking space, (Col. 11, lines 30-33, and lines 51-53, providing/submitting customer preferences to receive assignment of a parking space, which is communicated to a database processor); and

a parking database including data concerning parking parameters for each of a plurality of parking spaces under the control of a parking management system,

(Abstract, lines 2-4, identifying characteristics of parking spaces stored in database).

Art Unit: 3628

wherein the parking management system determines a list of available parking spaces and, responsive to a user communication with the parking management system, provides an optimal available parking space based on the user profile, the parking database, and the list of available parking spaces, responsive to a user communication with the parking management system, providing an optimal available parking space based on the user profile, the parking database, and the list of available parking spaces, (Col. 7, line 66-Col. 8, line 2, determines optimal match of customer preferences and available parking spaces, w/Col. 12, lines 46-50, list is available parking spaces sorted. displayed, and a ticket with a parking space number is printed and available to customer).

As per claim 21, Squire et al discloses:

instructions for determining a list of available parking spaces, (Col. 12, lines 46-48, list of available parking spaces is sorted); and

instructions, responsive to a user communication with a parking management system, for providing an optimal available parking space based on a user profile, (Col. 7, line 66-Col. 8, line 2, determines optimal match of customer preferences and available parking spaces, w/Col. 12, lines 48-50, list is displayed and a ticket with a parking space number is printed and available to customer), containing data concerning preferred parking parameters to a parking space, (Col. 22, lines 30-33, providing/submitting customer preferences to receive assignment of a parking space), a parking database including data concerning parking parameters for each of a plurality of parking spaces under the control of a parking management system, (Abstract, lines 2-4,

Art Unit: 3628

identifying characteristics of parking spaces stored in database), and the list of available parking spaces, (Col. 12, lines 46-48, list of available parking spaces is sorted).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 5, 6, 12, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Squire et al (US 6,970,101) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Zeitman (US 5,940,481).

As per claim 2, Squire et al does not specifically disclose wherein the user profile includes an identification of a user, but does disclose a database that includes customer preferences in order to identify information about parking with the customer in Col. 11, lines 30-33, and lines 51-53.

However, Zeitman discloses:

wherein the user profile includes an identification of a user, (Col. 1, lines 46-49, database includes a user identification, along with parking facility availability). Zeitman discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of identifying a user with information about parking facility availability through a database.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention for the user profile to include an identification of a user with the

Art Unit: 3628

motivation of identifying the user profile having parking characteristics with a user identification through a database.

As per claims 5, 12, 15, Squire et al does not specifically disclose wherein the user profile is selected responsive to receiving an identification of a user, but does disclose a database that includes customer preferences in order to identify information about parking with the customer in Col. 11, lines 30-33, and lines 51-53.

However, Zeitman discloses:

wherein the user profile is selected responsive to receiving an identification of a user, (Col. 4, lines 16-23, user ID read to determine the user's specifics pertaining to a parking reservation). Zeitman discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of identifying a user with information about parking facility availability through a database.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention for the user profile to be selected responsive to receiving an identification of a user with the motivation of identifying the user profile having parking characteristics with a user identification through a database.

As per claims 6, 16, Squire et al does not specifically disclose wherein the identification of the user is received by one of a card reader and a keypad interface, but does disclose a database that includes customer preferences in order to identify information about parking with the customer in Col. 11, lines 30-33, and lines 51-53.

However, Zeitman discloses:

Art Unit: 3628

wherein the identification of the user is received by one of a card reader and a keypad interface, (Col. 4, lines 16-23, card reader reads user identification data). Zeitman discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of using a card reader to identify a user with information about parking facility availability through a database.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention for the identification of the user to be received by one of a card reader and a keypad interface with the motivation of identifying the user profile having parking characteristics with a user identification through a database.

Art Unit: 3628

#### Conclusion

Page 10

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akiba K Robinson-Boyce whose telephone number is 571-272-6734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7238 [After final communications, labeled "Box AF"], 703-746-7239 [Official Communications], and 703-746-7150 [Informal/Draft Communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

A. R. B.

December 19, 2006